Monitoring Data Record

| Project Title: Martin Site (B-3045 WM | COE Action ID: 200632527285 DWQ Number: 06-869 |
|---|--|
| Stream Name: <u>Little Peters Creek</u> | DWQ Number: <u>06-869</u> |
| City, County and other Location Informa | tion: The mitigation area is located approx. 0.5 |
| | oad and NC 704 in Stokes County. |
| Date Construction Completed: June 20 |), 2007, Streambank Reforestation Completed 2-28-08 |
| Monitoring Year: (2) of 5 | |
| Ecoregion: | 8 digit HUC unit <u>03010103</u> |
| USGS Quad Name and Coordinates: | |
| Rosgen Classification: | |
| | Rural: <u>Rural</u> Watershed Size: |
| | Green and J. Young Date: 6/23/09 |
| Applicant Information: | |
| Name: NCDOT Roadside | Environmental |
| Address: 1425 Rock Quarry | |
| | Email address: mlgreen@ncdot.gov |
| Consultant Information: | |
| Name: | |
| Address: | |
| Telephone Number: | Email address: |
| Project Status: | |
| | |
| each year for the 5-year monitoring specific problem areas (missing, street proposed/required remedial action measurements of channel stability/submit the monitoring reports to Manager, within sixty days after confocur during the first 5 years, the bankfull event is documented. The years. In the event that the requirementaring period, the USACE, in that further monitoring is not requirementaring and reported through the | erform the following components of Level I monitoring period: Reference photos; plant survival (i.e. identify ssed, damaged or dead plantings), estimated causes, and); visual inspection of channel stability. Physical morphology will not be required. The permittee shall the USACE, Raleigh Regulatory Field Office Project appleting the monitoring. If less than two bankfull events permittee shall continue monitoring until the second bankfull events must occur during separate monitoring red bankfull events do not occur during the five-year consultation with the resource agencies, may determine ired. It is suggested that all bankfull occurrences be required monitoring period. The permittee shall perform twice each year (summer and winter) for the 5-year quently required monitoring period. |
| photos taken at each photo point exupstream. Dates reference photos have been take | ions at this site: 4 photo points were set with 2 cept photo point #4 which only has 1 photo looking at this site: 2/28/08, 5/28/08, 1/13/09, 6/23/09 |
| Individual from whom additional photo | tos can be obtained (name, address, phone): |

| | formation relative to site | e photo reference: | A site map with ph | oto point locations is atta | ched with |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---|---|------------------------|
| this report If required | to complete Level 3 monito | oring only stop here; ot | herwise, complete sec | ction 2. | |
| | PLANT SURVIVAL an sheet indicating reference | ce photos. | | | |
| Identify | specific problem areas (| missing, stressed, | damaged or dead | plantings): | |
| | | | | | |
| Estimate | ed causes, and proposed/ | required remedial | action: | | |
| | | | | | |
| completed with barer Oak, | ONAL COMMENTS: on 2/28/08. The site was become seedlings which consiste Sycamore, and Green Ash. lge, goldenrod, cattails, clove | ive staked with Black ed of Black Walnut, County Other vegetation not | Willow and Silky Do herrybark Oak, North ed on site consisted | ogwood. The site was alsern Red Oak, River Birc | so plante h, Willov |
| | 6.7.6 | | | | |
| | | | | | |

If required to complete Level 1 and Level 2 monitoring <u>only</u> stop here; otherwise, complete section 3.

Section 3. CHANNEL STABILITY

Visual Inspection: The entire stream project as well as each in-stream structure and bank stabilization/revetment structure must be evaluated and problems addressed.

Report on the visual inspection of channel stability. <u>Physical measurements of channel stability/morphology will not be required.</u> Include a discussion of any deviations from as-built and an evaluation of the significance of these deviations and whether they are indicative of a stabilizing or destabilizing situation.

| Little Peters Creek stream restoration project is stable for the Year 2 Summer evaluation. There was debris and | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| sediment deposited onto the floodplain since the last monitoring evaluation which indicates a bankfull event has | | | | | | | |
| occurred. NCDOT will continue to monitor this stream restoration project. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Date | Station | Station | Station | Station | Station |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Inspected | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number |
| Structure | | | | | |
| Type | | | | | |
| Is water | | | | | |
| piping | | | | | |
| through or | | | | | |
| around | | | | | |
| structure? | | | | | |
| Head cut or | | | | | |
| down cut | | | | | |
| present? | | | | | |
| Bank or scour | | | | | |
| erosion | | | | | |
| present? | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | |
| problems | | | | | |
| noted? | | | | | |

Little Peters Creek



Photo Point #1 (Upstream)



Photo Point #1 (Downstream)



Photo Point #2 (Upstream)



Photo Point #2 (Downstream)



Photo Point #3 (Upstream)



Photo Point #3 (Downstream)

Year 2 Summer – June 2009

Little Peters Creek



Photo Point # 4 (Upstream)

Year 2 Summer – June 2009